




PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Sector

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box: MISSING PARTS, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on:
April 20, 1998
(date)


Alan Israel
Reg. No. 27,564

In re: Application of : Timothy John HUNNEYBALL
Serial No. : 09/040,616
Filed : March 18, 1998
For : MA ALTERNATE ROUTEING

Docket No.: P/61212:USP/GPTU11

New York, New York
April 20, 1998

LATE FILING OF DECLARATION AND PRIORITY DOCUMENT


Box: MISSING PARTS
Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
Sir:

Attached hereto for filing in the above captioned application is the executed declaration herein, together with the surcharge of \$130.00. Also enclosed for filing is a copy of the priority application No. 9716195.4 filed in the United Kingdom on July 31, 1997.

No Petition Fee for an Extension of Time is required, because Form PTO-1533 for "Missing Parts" has not issued. If there are any additional charges, or any overpayment, in connection with this filing the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any such deficiency, or credit any such overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 11-1145.

Respectfully submitted,

KIRSCHSTEIN, OTTINGER, ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)
551 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10176-0024
Tel.: (212) 697-3750
Fax.: (212) 949-1690


Alan Israel
Registration No. 27,564

04/30/1998 MVILLARI 00000030 09040616

01 FC:105

130.00 CP

The
Patent
Office

29/04/98

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP9 1RH



I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., L.C. or PLC.

Registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated

G D Court.

23rd March 1998

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

1. Your reference **P/61212.GBA**

2. Patent application number
(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

9716195.4

31 JUL 1997

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

**GPT LIMITED
NEW CENTURY PARK
P.O. BOX 53
COVENTRY CV3 1HJ**

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

72252500

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

ENGLAND

4. Title of the invention
MA ALTERNATE ROUTING

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

H A BRANFIELD

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

**GEC PATENT DEPARTMENT
WATERHOUSE LANE
CHELMSFORD
ESSEX CM1 2QX**

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

5858519002 *✓*

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:
a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body
See note (d))

YES

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form	-
Description	7
Claim(s)	1
Abstract	-
Drawing(s)	1

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents	-
Translations of priority documents	-
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)	-
Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)	-
Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)	-
Any other documents (please specify)	-

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

H A BRANFIELD
Signature

Date 31.07.97

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

H A BRANFIELD

01245 275132

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

MA ALTERNATE ROUTEING

Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) equipment is the latest generation of equipment that is used to provide high bandwidth communications capabilities for use between telephone exchanges and in other areas where high quality telecomms is required (broadcast video distribution, etc). Embedded within the 'traffic' carrying capability of the equipment are data communications channels (DCCs). These channels constitute a datacomms network that uses Open Systems Interconnections (OSI) protocols.

Each piece of equipment constitutes a routeing node in the datacomms network formed by the data channels, and can operate any one of a number of different methods. The present invention is concerned with the interworking of two of the possible routeing methods.

The two routeing methods that will commonly occur in SDH networks are IS-IS (ISO 10589) and quasi-static routeing (where alternate routes may be chosen on link failure). Where this occurs, routeing loops, causing loss of comms, can be caused. The present invention detects the formation of a routeing loop and changes the behaviour of the IS-IS node accordingly.

According to the present invention there is provided a Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) based communications network comprising a plurality of Intermediate (IS), the IS being divided between at least one IS-IS Area and at least one non-IS-IS Area, an IS-IS Area being an area with which a routeing protocol forming part of the Network Layer (Layer 3) of the Open Systems Interconnection including routeing (OIS), is provided for

routeing messages between areas a method is provided wherein static routes (Manual Adjacencies (MA) are created at IS within the IS-IS Area to point to routes to a group of one or more Network Equipments (NEs) within the non-IS-IS Area and where a failure occurs in a link to or within a group and messages from the IS-IS Area to the non-IS-IS Area are looped to the originating IS-IS Area, identification of the NEs from which messages have been looped are removed from the respective MAS allowing routeing of messages via alternative MAS.

The present invention will now be described by way of example, with reference to and as illustrated in the accompanying single figure which illustrates in part the connection between an IS-IS Routeing Domain and a non-IS-IS (static) Routeing Sub-Domain.

The IS-IS routeing protocol is one of a set of 'link state' dynamic routeing protocols. These protocols automatically distribute routeing information round the datacomms network, allowing nodes to learn the required routeing information from the actual network. This provides the ability to automatically reconfigure, allowing routeing round network faults, in case of network link failure.

The IS-IS routeing protocol has two routeing levels, Level-1 and Level-2. See Figure 2 (from ISO 10589) for the use of these levels and the general environment of this protocol.

The present invention is also applicable to other datacomms scenarios, where a dynamic routeing protocol is interworked with static routeing, or a different dynamic protocol (e.g. OSPF and static routes, etc).

Reference:

ISO/IEC 10589 : 1992 (E)

Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Intermediate system to Intermediate system intra-domain routing information exchange protocol for use in conjunction with the protocol for providing the connectionless-mode Network Service (ISO 8473).

Glossary:

Area An IS-IS Level 1 routing subdomain

ES End System - these systems deliver NPDUs to other systems and receive NPDUs from other systems but do not relay NPDUs

IS Intermediate System (a node where data may be routed on to another IS or to an End System (ES))

IS-IS The IS-IS intra-domain routing protocol (see reference)

MA Manual Adjacency

NE Network Element

NPDU Network Layer Protocol Data Unit

NSAP Network Service Access Point (layer-3 address)

OSI Open Systems Interconnection

SID System ID - Part of the NSAP

Router An IS running IS-IS

Level 1 Intermediate Systems deliver and receive NPDUs from other systems, and relay NPDUs from other source systems to other destination systems. They route directly to

systems within their own area, and route towards a Level 2 Intermediate system when the destination system is in a different area.

Level 2 Intermediate Systems act as Level 1 Intermediate systems in addition to acting as a system in the subdomain consisting of Level 2 ISs. Systems in the Level 2 subdomain route towards a destination area, or another routing domain.

References to the routing of NPDUs are made with regard to NPDUs destined for NSAPs residing on NEs in the non-IS-IS subdomain.

IS-IS is a dynamic, link-state, routing protocol, which can be included as part of the Network Layer (Layer-3) of the OSI Reference Model. For the purpose of this document, ISs running IS-IS will be termed *Routers*.

Routers can participate in two levels of routing:

Level-1 - For routing within an *Area*

Level-2 - For routing between *Areas*

Level 1 *Routers* provide the ability to enter static routes to allow Level-1 routing to non-IS-IS NEs to be used. This static route is termed a Manual Adjacency (MA) and may be used to point to a single NE, or a group of NEs. A Level-1 *Router*, with a configured MA, propagates the details of the MA within its Level-1 Link-State information. Thus all Level-1 *Routers* gain information about all MA's configured within the Level-1 subdomain.

When the MA is used to point to a group of NEs, routeing failures in the non-IS-IS group, due to internal link failure in the group, can cause messages (NPDU) to be looped back to the IS-IS NE containing the MA. This NE should then send the message back to the non-IS-IS group (from whence it came), causing a routeing loop, and subsequent loss of communications (the NPDU will loop until its lifetime expires and it is deleted). A second connection from the IS-IS domain, to this group of non-IS-IS NEs would be of no benefit in this case.

In Figure 1:

1. Nodes 1 & 5 are IS-IS routers.
2. Nodes 2 - 4 are non-IS-IS routers.
3. MAs are used in nodes 1 & 5, each MA containing the System IDs of nodes 2 - 4.
4. The link between nodes 2 & 3 is broken.
5. The routeing for nodes 2 - 4 is via node 1 due to *shortest path* routeing in the IS-IS Domain.

In the existing situation under ISO 10589, routeing is from node 1 to node 4, node 2 returns the message to node 1, which then sends it back to node 2 due to the MA. The message continues looping between nodes 1 & 2 until its lifetime expires and is deleted.

MA Alternate Routeing provides resilience when MAs are used to route to groups of non-IS-IS NEs, allowing benefit from the use of multiple connections between the IS-IS domain and the group of non-IS-IS NEs. It achieves the resilience by controlling the state

of the advertising of the Manual Adjacencies. On detection of the looping of messages due to a link break in the non-IS-IS group, the IDs of the NEs for which messages have been 'looped' are removed from the IS-IS Level-1 advertising process (link state information). This allows the MAs in the alternate interdomain connections to be selected instead.

Manual Adjacencies that point to groups of NEs contain a list of the System IDs (part of the overall NSAP) of the non-IS-IS NEs, the MA also contains the port to be used to reach these NEs. When a break occurs in the non-IS-IS group, a number of NEs may become unreachable and cause messages to be looped.

When a message (NPDU) is received on a port to which it will become routed (according to the MA), the System ID of the Destination NSAP of the NPDU is removed from the SID list of the MA. To do this, the MA will first be taken 'down' it will be taken back 'up' when the SID has been removed.

To avoid the MA "flapping up and down" as the unreachability is detected for each SID in the MA, a Hold-Off timer (16-32s) is used. This allows the collection of all SIDs associated with the break, before the MA is taken down for the removal of the SIDs.

To allow the SID to be reinstated, automatically, after the recovery of the failure, the SID is reinstated on the expiry of a single, jittered, Recovery Timer (approx. 5 mins). This is only done, however, if no other route exists for the destination node, in the IS-IS domain.

The present invention is also applicable to other Link-State dynamic routing protocols, that use static routes to interwork with dissimilar routing protocols.

Referring again to Figure 1, with the present invention routing is from node 1 to node 4, the first time a message is sent back from node 2 to node 1 (due to the break), the loop is detected and the System ID of node 4 is removed from the MA in node 1. The change in the MA in node 1 will then be propagated to the IS-IS Level-1 subdomain. All the Level-1 routers in this AREA will then recalculate their routes. There will now be a route to node 4 via node 5. Messages sent to node 4 before the recalculation has taken place will probably be lost in the network. This is covered by the recovery mechanisms in upper layer protocols (layer-4 say).

CLAIMS

1. In a Synchronous Digital Hierarchy (SDH) based communications network comprising a plurality of Intermediate (IS), the IS being divided between at least one IS-IS Area and at least one non-IS-IS Area, an IS-IS Area being an area with which a routeing protocol forming part of the Network Layer (Layer 3) of the Open Systems Interconnection including routeing (OSI), is provided for routeing messages between areas a method is provided wherein static routes (Manual Adjacencies (MA) are created at IS within the IS-IS Area to point to routes to a group of one or more Network Equipments (NEs) within the non-IS-IS Area and where a failure occurs in a link to or within a group and messages from the IS-IS Area to the non-IS-IS Area are looped to the originating IS-IS Area, identification of the NEs from which messages have been looped are removed from the respective MAs allowing routeing of messages via alternative MAS.

2. A method as claimed in Claim 1 substantially as hereinbefore described.

FIGURE 1

